

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/889,332 | | 10/25/2001 | Rolf Ahlstrom | 45687-00063 | 2757 |
| 23932 | 7590 | 04/11/2003 | | | |
| JENKENS | | , | EXAMINER | | |
| 1445 ROSS SUITE 3200 | | | | BARRY, CI | HESTER T |
| DALLAS, TX 75202 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 1724 | 8 |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 04/11/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <u> </u> | | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|---|
| | Office Action Service | 09/889,332 | AHLSTROM, ROLF |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | T' N | Chester T. Barry | 1724 |
| Period | The MAILING DATE of this commun for Reply | nication appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address |
| - Ex afto - If th - If N - Fai - Any | HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI ensions of time may be available under the provisions or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm ne period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 O period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta lure to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third the statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON | reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. |
| 1)[| Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on <i>11 April 2000</i> | |
| 2a) <u></u> | | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | |
| 3)⊡ Disposit | Since this application is in condition | for allowance except for formal mat ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E | ters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the a | application. | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar | | |
| 5)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9</u> is/are allowed. | | |
| 6)⊠ | | | |
| 7)🖂 | | ed to. | |
| 8)[] applicat | Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 October 20</u> | | ted to by the Evaminer |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) |
| 11) 🗌 . | The proposed drawing correction filed | on is: a) approved b) dis | Sapproved by the Examiner |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are requ | uired in reply to this Office action. | previously and Examinor. |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to b | | |
| riority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| 13)🛛 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo | or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a)[| ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | • | (-) (-) |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority do | ocuments have been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority do | | plication No. |
| | Copies of the certified copies of | the priority documents have been rejonal Bureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a)) | eceived in this National Stage |
| | cknowledgment is made of a claim for | | |
| a) 15)∐ A | | uage provisional application has bee | n received. |
| tachment(| s) | | |
| Notice | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape | 0-948) 5) Notice of Info | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) |

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 1 – 5, 9 are allowed. References A – H are cited of interest.

Claims 6 - 8, 12 - 16 are objected to for improper multiple dependent claim format. Accordingly, these claims will not be examined on the merits.

Page 2

Claims 10 - 11 are rejected under §112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter for which patent protection is sought. Regarding claim 10, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

PRIMARY EXAMINED

703-306-5921